

# Peer Review Policy

The peer review process of the journal is committed to ensure that the journal publishes only the novel, high-quality and state-of-the-art research manuscripts. Its main purpose is to derive a prompt decision on the scholarly submission made to the journal by maintaining the scope and high quality standards unaltered.

The following processes are adopted in the peer-review process of the journal:

## 1. Preliminary Manuscript Evaluation

In this initial stage, all the received manuscripts are unsolicited by the journal editor. The manuscripts of high-quality and falls exactly within the scope of the journal can be accepted directly at this stage. On the other hand, the manuscripts that have been rejected at this stage will be due to insufficient technical contributions, out-of-scope, or poor English standards. The papers that are selected from this stage will be further processed and passed on to at least 3 expert reviewers from the same domain.

## 2. Double-Blinded Review Process

The journal intends to maintain higher peer-review standards, which can promptly enhance the review process. In this process, the journal referees and review will initiate a double-blind peer review process, where the received manuscript should have the following characteristics,

- Novel and Unpublished
- Reliable and Acceptable proposed methodologies
- High-quality and academic style of writing
- Abides the ethical guidelines of the journal
- Potential research results
- Proper Citations with relevant references

If the final inception report given by the referee and review has completely convinced the Journal Editor, then the decision [Acceptance, rejection, revision or conditional acceptance] will be sent to the author/s. The Editor's decision will always be sent along with the verbatim Comments or Recommendations received from the reviewers. Further, the submission of revised manuscripts will be again forwarded to the referees and reviewers in that particular domain, who can either give acceptance or send the manuscript again for revision.

## 3. Final Announcement

The final opinion of the Journal Editor will be sent along with the final reviews and recommendations received from the reviewers.

In any case, the Journal Editor will make the final decision on the acceptance and rejection of any submitted manuscript.